UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,919	04/14/2006	Rajinder Singh	03-004-F	1796
20306 7590 05/22/2009 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			NOLAN, JASON MICHAEL	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/534,919	SINGH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Examine	Artonit

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Required for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	s the
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension	I TWO fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	(2) as filed,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the dat filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. So Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	Σľ
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of the proposed amendment(s). 	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	21
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	a a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because	: :
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:	
/JMN/ /Rebecca L Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner made the Office Action mailed 3/13/09 Final and the finality was not improper for the following reason. Applicant submitted amendments on 12/3/2009 to at least Claim 1 that created a sugenus of the former Markush-type formula. Once a Markush-type claim has been rejected, the prior art search will not be extended unnecessarily to cover all nonelected species. Upon amendment, the Markush-type claim was further examined for non-elected speces upon entry of Applicants amendments that created said subgenus. A non-elected species was found and the rejection was made and the Office Action was Final, as necessatated by Applicant's amendment to create the subgenus. Applicant's amendments, received 5/12/2009, have created another subgenus of the Markush-type formula that require further search and examination consideration. For this reason, Examiner suggests that Applicant file an RCE to further the prosecution of this application.

The amendment to Claim 1 has limited R1 to avoid the compound from the Donia reference. However, with respect to the compound cited from Wang et al. (WO 2000032598), the Examiner points out that Claim 6 includes R1 = allyl, which is the substituent for the Wang comopund. The Wang reference also contains other compounds that may anticipate the Markush-type formula in Claim 1. Applicant's amendment to "B" in Claim 1 to included =C- is unnecessary, as the definition at the bottom of states that R3 and B may form an alkenyl group.